REAL-TIME DETECTION OF VOLATILE ORGANIC **COMPOUNDS IN RO-BASED** POTABLE REUSE

AURELIE MARCOTTE ENTANGLEMENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ENVIRONMENTAL MEASUREMENT SYMPOSIUM

> AUGUST 5-9, 2024 GARDEN GROVE, CA

The Water Research Foundation Project Team





Eric Dickenson, PhD Jessica Steigerwald, PhD Aarthi Mohan, PhD



Anthony Miller, PhD Aurelie Marcotte, PhD Jake Margolis Mike Armen, PhD



Chris Bellona, PhD Seyed Mohammad Hassan Khademi



Megan Plumlee, PhD Jana Safarik, PhD



Vishnu Rajasekharan, PhD

Online Organic Carbon Monitoring for Reverse Osmosis (RO)

- Can measure online "TOC" in RO permeate water: 100-200 μg/L.
- Online "TOC" is used as a surrogate for pathogen removal for RO.
- Online "TOC" is used for compliance in meeting a final product water TOC goal.
- Online "TOC" can be used to detect episodic chemical peaks.



Detection of Chemical Peaks in Potable Reuse

Low molecular weight chemicals are important to potable reuse projects



Figure 1-2. Chemical Peak at Orange County Water District's Full-Scale Potable Reuse Facility. Source: Dadakis and Dunivin 2013.

Debroux, J., Plumlee, M., Trussell, S. **2021**, <u>Defining Potential Chemical Peaks and</u> <u>Management Options</u>, WRF #4991.

VOCs May Not be Detected by Online NPOC Analyzers



TOC – Organic Carbon

- IC Inorganic Carbon
- ICR Inorganic Carbon Removal
- POC Purgeable Organic Carbon Removal
- VOCs Volatile Organic Compounds
- NPOC Nonpurgeable Organic Carbon



Debroux, J., Plumlee, M., Trussell, S. **2021,** <u>Defining Potential</u> <u>Chemical Peaks and</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Options</u>, WRF #4991.

Figure 2. Carbon disulfide concentrations (as NPOC) for reverse osmosis permeate spiked with carbon disulfide at 651 ppb as carbon. Online TOC instruments were operated with IC removal.

AROMA-VOC Analyzer **Can Detect** Specific VOCs



- AROMA can measure part-per-trillion, speciated VOC measurements in near realtime.
- It has been developed and validated for a range of VOCs in environmental gas samples.
- It has been used to measure VOCs in sanitary sewer headspace.
- It has also been used to monitor alcohol and fluoroalcohol tracers in produced oilfield fluid.
- AROMA is designed for long-term, continuous operation in harsh environments.

Project Goal and Approach

Implement a near real-time VOC analyzer to detect specific VOCs at low detection limits (μ g/L - ng/L) prior to and after treatment for RO- and GAC-based potable reuse applications.

Task 1: VOC Analyzer Method Optimization

Task 2: Validation of the VOC Analyzer

Task 3: Field Testing at Full- and Pilot-Scale Systems

Task 1: VOC Analyzer Method Optimization

Objectives:

1) Extend the capabilities of an existing VOC analyzer to target VOCs of interest in potable reuse.

2) Amend the existing direct sparge interface system for online water sampling.



Selection for Organic Compounds



2.1.3 Summary of Organic Compound Removal by FAT

The following chemical families were identified as having intermediate to poor rejection by RO membranes and poor removal by AOP (less efficient than 1,4-dioxane):

- 1. LMW haloalkanes
- 2. LMW alcohols, aldehydes, and ketones
- 3. Acetonitrile
- 4. MITC
- 5. THMs

Table 2-7. Predicted Removal via AOP for Organic Compounds That May Persist through RO.

Family	Greater than 1,4-dioxane	Less than 1,4-dioxane	
VOCs	Haloalkenes	C ₁ -C ₃ Haloalkanes	
	Halobenzenes	C ₁ -C ₃ Alcohols	
	Alkylbenzenes	C1-C3 Aldehydes	
	C ₄ + Alcohols	C₃-C₅ Ketones	
	C ₄ + Aldehydes	Acetonitrile	
	C ₆ + Ketones	MITC	
	Acrylonitrile		
	Benzotriazole		
PPCPs	Most pharmaceuticals	Flame Retardants	
DBPs	Nitrosamines ¹	THMs	

Notes: 1 High removal in UV/AOP systems

References: Drewes et al. 2008, Howe et al. 2019, Ahmed et al. 2017, Drewes et al. 2006, Buxton et al. 1988, Swancutt et al. 2010; Bahnmüller et al. 2015

Intermediate Chemical Family Sub-group Good (>90%) Poor (<50%) (50-90%) Nitriles; Solvents and Industrial Halobenzenes; Haloalkenes Ethers Compounds 1,1,2-TCE Benzotriazole CCl₄; C1-C2 haloalkanes with Some C₁-C₃ Ethanes with 3-4 Cl atoms: Haloalkanes VOCs haloalkanes 1-2 halogen atoms Most C₄+ haloalkanes Alkylbenzenes C₁₀₊ C6-C9 Pesticides/ 1,2,3-TCP MITC Herbicides Isopropyl alcohol; Methanol; Branched C4+ alcohols Most unbranched Alcohols LMW Ethanol: alcohols Oxygenated Acetone: Compounds Methyl isobutyl ketone Formaldehyde; Aldehydes, Ketones Unbranched C₃-C₆ C1-C6 Aldehydes (MIBK) Ketones Flame Retardants Chlorophosphates; PFAS Steroids: PPCPs β-blockers; Pharmaceuticals NSAIDs: X-ray Contrast Media C4+ nitrosamines; NDMA; Nitrosamines NMOR NDEA DBPs Halogenated DBPs HAAs HANs THMs

References: Howe et al. 2019, Zeng et al. 2016, Rodriguez et al. 2012, Snyder et al. 2007a, Kiso et al. 2011, Tackaert et al. 2019, Fujioka et al. 2012; Doederer et al. 2014; Alotaibi et al. 2015

Debroux, J., Plumlee, M., Trussell, S. 2021, Defining Potential Chemical Peaks and Management Options, WRF #4991.

Table 2-5. Summary of RO Rejection of Organic Compounds and Chemical Families.

Rejection **Does not Necessarily** Correlate with Molecular size of an Organic Compound



Figure 4-13. Haloalkane and Haloalkene Rejection.

Howe, K.J., Minakata, D., Breitner, L.N., Zhang, M. **2019**, <u>Predicting Reverse</u> <u>Osmosis Removal of Unique Organics</u>, WRF #4769.

Considerations for Selecting Organic Compounds



- (1) Potential for incomplete removal by advanced treatment systems employing RO with UV-AOP.
- (2) Health relevant where levels were 10x less than the 100 μ g/L limit measured as "TOC"/NPOC.
- (3) Potential for non-detection by online purgeable organic carbon analyzers.
- (4) Has already been measured by the AROMA analyzer.
- (5) Compounds already detected in RO permeates.
- (6) Other compounds considered: NDMA, Formaldehyde, Vinyl Chloride, Methyl Chloride, 1,3-Butadiene, Acrylonitrile.

	Compounds	MW (g/mol)	Нус
1	Tert-Butyl Alcohol	74	3.70E-04
2	Acetone	58	1.40E-02
3	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	147	1.40E-02
4	Methyl Tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	88	3.00E-02
5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	133	3.14E-02
6	Dibromochloromethane	208	3.20E-02
7	1,2-Dichloroethane	99	4.81E-02
8	Bromodichloromethane	163	8.67E-02
9	Bromochloromethane	129	1.00E-01
10	1,2-Dichloropropane	113	1.10E-01
11	Methylene Chloride	85	1.20E-01
12	Chloroform	119	1.50E-01
13	1,1-Dichloroethane ^a	99	2.00E-01
14	Benzene	78	2.27E-01
15	Toluene	92	2.69E-01
16	1,1,2-Trichloroethylene	131	3.10E-01
17	Ethylbenzene	106	3.14E-01
18	1,1-Dichloroethene	97	1.00E+00
19	Isoprene	68	2.19E+00

Continuous Dynamic Headspace Apparatus





General flow diagram of the optimized direct sparge interface for online analysis of VOCs from water

Cavity Ring-Down Laser Absorption Spectroscopy





Maity et al. Anal. Chem. **2021**, 93, 1, 388–416

Measurements are Dependent on Specific Wavelengths

xentanglement





- A column is used for chromatographic separation and laser absorption spectroscopy is used for detection.
- Elution trace of benzene and 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2 DCA) spiked at 130 ng/L in RO permeate sparged with ultra-high purity nitrogen measured at various wavelengths.
- Method developed for 19 VOC compounds (~50 minute method).

Developed Calibration Curves





- Relative standard
 error (RSE) for all of
 the data points used
 for calibration were
 below +/- 30 %.
- R square values of all calibrated compounds > 0.99
- <u>MDLs range from 10</u> to 90 ng/L

Task 2: Validation of the VOC Analyzer

Objectives:

1) Externally validate for differing wastewater matrices.

2) Quantify RO rejection performance for the target VOCs.

Evaluate AROMA for Various Wastewater Matrices

- Obtained <u>feed AND effluent/permeate</u> wastewater samples from all participating utilities using RO (7 sites) and GAC (3 sites) processes within potable reuse systems.
- Analysis of 1) ambient and 2) matrix spiked samples
 - Ambient and 65 ng/L, 2 μ g/L, 10 μ g/L spikes
- Third party laboratory analysis of samples for comparison to the AROMA analyzer (EPA Methods 5030B and 8260B).











AROMA and EPA Methods are Comparable



VOCs Were Only Partially Removed by Full-Scale RO



Task 2: **Quantify RO** Rejection Performance for Spiked VOCs





Colorado School of Mines' Small-Scale Closed Circuit RO system

VOC Rejection Can Be Impacted by Long-Term **Operational and** Membrane Conditions



Task 3: Field Testing at Full- and Pilot-Scale Systems

Objectives:

1) Verify the feasibility of long-term operation of the VOC analyzer at full-scale

2) Evaluate its performance at pilot-scale with chemical peak simulations

Task 3: Field Testing (in progress)



SOUTHERN NEVADA WATER AUTHORITY[®]

Field Testing at Full- and Pilot-Scale Systems



Orange County Water District (OCWD) Groundwater Replenishment System (GWRS) Reverse Osmosis (RO) Facility



Orange County Water District (OCWD) RO pilot test system

AROMA installed in July 2024 at OCWD GWRS RO Facility

On-Line Monitoring Preliminary Results

NOTE: Qualitative Results Only! These results are preliminary and have not been validated by 3rd party testing.



On-Line Monitoring Preliminary Results

NOTE: Qualitative Results Only! These results are preliminary and have not been validated by 3rd party testing.



Next Steps

- Compare VOC concentrations and trends to online TOC measurements
- Future Sampling Plan
 - 1 measurement / hour
 - Current trends are showing increases and decreases in concentrations over ~12 hours
- Conduct peak simulations on RO pilot test system
- Compare results with grab samples

VOC Analyzer Can Provide Sensitive Analysis for Specific **VOCs**

- So far, accurate analysis across water types.
- Be used in tandem with online NPOC (TOC) analyzers.
- Target VOCs that NPOC cannot, like purgeable VOCs or events below the NPOC detection limit.
- Identify VOCs that NPOC does detect
- Rule out regulated VOCs if NPOC does detect.











Questions or Comments?

Contacts



Principal Investigator

Eric Dickenson eric.dickenson@snwa.com



Presenter

Aurelie Marcotte amarcotte@entanglementtech.com



WRF Project Manager

Lola Olabode lolabode@waterrf.org



