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## DISCLAIMER

- The research and results presented today were funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology.
- The views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
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## NATIONAL LAKE FISH TISSUE STUDY (NLFTS)

- First national-scale statistically based fish tissue contamination study (EPA-823-R-09-006).
- Study design (including sample collection and preparation procedures) peer reviewed in 1999.
- Study samples collected at 500 lakes in collaboration with states from 2000-2003.
- Tissue samples analyzed for 268 persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic chemicals.
- Final report externally peer reviewed and published in 2009.
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Abstract The National Lake Fish Tissue Study (NLFTS) was the first survey of fish contamination in lakes and reservoirs in the 48 contermous states based on a probability survey desig.
This study included the largest set $(268)$ of per sistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic (PBT) chemals ever studied in predator and bottom-dwelli fish species. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) implemented the study in co-
operation with states, tribal nations, and other pperation with states, tribal nations, and othee
ederal agencies, with field collection occurring ai 500 lakes and reservoirs over a four-year period 2000-2003). The sampled lakes and reservoir probability survey design from 270,761 lake ob-
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jects in USEPA's River Reach File Version (RF3). The survey design selected 900 lake ob jects, with a reserve sample of 900 , equally dis-
tributed across six lake area categorieq. Alotal of 1,001 lake objects were evaluated to identify 500 lake objects that met the study's definition of a
later lake and could be accessed for sampling. Based on the 1,001 evaluated lakes. it was estimated that a target population of $147.343( \pm 7 \%$ with $95 \%$ con-
fidence) lakes and reservoirs met the NLFTS defidence) lakes and reservoirs met the NLFTS de-
finition of a lake. Of the estimated 147,343 targe lakes, $47 \%$ were estimated not to be sampleable either due to landowner access denial $(35 \%$ ) or
due to physical barriers ( $12 \%$ ). It was estimated that a sampled population of 78,664 ( $\pm 12 \%$ with $95 \%$ confidence) lakes met the NLFTS lake definition, had either predator or bottom-dwelling fish present, and could be samplec
Keywords Fish tissue - Contaminants. Lakes.
Reservoirs. Probability survey design. PBTs

Introduction
In 1998, the U.S. Environmental Protection nology (OST) within the Office of Water (OW) held a workshop to initiate a national study of contamination in fish tissucu for lakes and reser-
voirs in the 48 conterminous states. Workshop


Fig. 3 Example cumulative distribution function estimate for mercury in predator fish (from Stahl et al. 2008)
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- Develop national estimates of persistent bioaccumulative toxic chemical (PBT) concentrations in fish fillet tissue.
- Estimate the percentage of waters in the NATIONAL FISH TISSUE STUDIES conterminous U.S. with fish fillet tissue concentrations above human health protection screening levels.
- Provide national baseline information for assessing changes in PBTs over time.


## O FISH TISSUE STUDIES CONDUCTED UNDER NARS

- Since 2008, EPA has conducted 7 fish tissue studies under the National Aquatic Resource Surveys (NARS):
- National Rivers and Streams Assessment (NRSA) - rivers only
- 2008-09, urban rivers
- 2013-14
- 2018-19
- National Coastal Condition Assessment (NCCA) - Great Lakes only
- 2010
- 2015
- 2020
- National Lakes Assessment (NLA)
- 1 study in lakes (2022) - underway


## FISH TISSUE STUDIES CONDUCTED UNDER NARS

- All studies used the statistical design of NARS and the peerreviewed fish composite sample collection and fillet tissue preparation methods from the NLFTS.
- All studies have included analysis of fillet composite samples for mercury, PCBs, and PFAS (other chemical groups, such as PBDEs and dioxins and furans, have been included periodically).
- This presentation will focus on the two most recent NRSA studies.


## STUDY DESIGN

- An unequal probability survey design was developed to allow a comprehensive characterization of mercury, PCB, and PFAS contamination in fish from U.S. rivers.
- Probability-based assessments provide the basis for estimating resource extent and condition and characterizing changes in extent or condition over time with known certainty.


## STUDY DESIGN

- Characteristics that distinguish probability sampling designs from other sampling designs:
- The target population being sampled is clearly defined.
- Every element in the population has an opportunity to be sampled with known probability.
- The site selection process includes an explicit random element.


## HOW ARE FISH COLLECTED?

- Field crews collect fish by electrofishing, hook and line, and netting methods.
- A composite consists of up to 5 similarly sized adult fish of the same species ( $75 \%$ fish-length rule applies).
- EPA supplies a target species list to field crews based on these criteria:
- Abundant
- Commonly consumed by people
- Large enough to provide sufficient tissue for chemical analyses (adult specimens preferred)
- Fish are handled and shipped from each site using consistent methods derived from the NLFTS and incorporated in an approved QA plan.



## HOW ARE THE FILLET SAMPLES PREPARED?

- Apply standardized approach based on procedures used for the NLFTS.
- Scale and remove fillets from each fish in the composite sample.
- Homogenize fillets for each composite sample using a tissue grinder.
- Divide ground fillet tissue into separate aliquots for each type of chemical analysis (method specifies required tissue volume).
- Complete quality control steps to:
- Confirm homogeneity based on lipid testing.
- Verify that equipment cleaning procedures are preventing cross-contamination by analyzing rinsate samples.


## METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE SAMPLES

- Mercury: EPA Method 1631, Revision E; detects to fractions of one part per billion
- PCBs: EPA Method 1668, Revision C; detects to fractions of one part per trillion
- PFAS: HPLC-MS/MS method developed by a commercial laboratory (similar to EPA Draft Method 1633); detects to fractions of one part per billion


## NRSA FISH TISSUE STUDY SAMPLING LOCATIONS



Number of samples analyzed for each contaminant

|  | Mercury | PCBs | PFAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013-14$ | 353 | 223 | 349 |
| $2018-19$ | 290 | 290 | 290 |

- Mercury and PCBs were detected in $100 \%$ of the samples.
- PFOS was most frequently detected PFAS, in more than $95 \%$ of the samples.
- Other commonly detected PFAS include:
- six carboxylic acids (PFNA, PFDA, PFUnA, PFDoA, PFTrDA, PFTeDA),
- one sulfonic acid (PFDS)
- one sulfonamide (PFOSA)


## MERCURY - IMPLICATIONS FOR FISH CONSUMPTION

|  | Fish tissue <br> screening <br> level $(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$, <br> wet weight) | Fish <br> consumption <br> rate | Percent of sampled population <br> (river km) with fillet concentrations <br> above screening level |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Noncancer health <br> effects (general <br> population) | $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ | $17.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day | 2014 | $2018-2019$ |



PCBs - IMPLICATIONS FOR FISH CONSUMPTION

|  | Fish tissue screening level (ng/g, wet weight) | Fish consumption rate | Percent of sampled population (river km) with fillet concentrations above screening level2013-2014 2018-2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cancer screening level (subsistence) | $2.8 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ | $142 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$ | 77.4\% | 73.8\% |
| Noncancer screening level (subsistence) | $11 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ | $142 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$ | 54.6\% | 46.2\% * |
| Cancer screening level (general consumers) | $12 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ | 32.4 g/day | $51.6 \%$ | 45.1 \% |
| Noncancer screening level (general consumers) | $49 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ | 32.4 g/day | 26.3\% | 17.3\% * |

## PCBs - IMPLICATIONS FOR FISH CONSUMPTION



## PFAS Detection Frequencies in Fish Fillet Samples



## O PFOS RFD - IMPLICATIONS FOR FISH CONSUMPTION

- EPA recently announced the proposed National Primary Drinking Water Regulation for six PFAS, including a revised draft health assessment for PFOS, with a reference dose (RfD) value of $1^{*} 10^{-7}$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day.

$$
\text { PFOS Screening Level }=\frac{\text { RfD } * \text { Body Weight }}{\text { Fish Consumption Rate }}=\frac{1 * 10^{-7} \frac{\mathrm{mg}}{\mathrm{~kg}-\text { day }} * 80 \mathrm{~kg}}{0.032 \mathrm{~kg} / \text { day }}=0.25 \mathrm{ppb}
$$

- More than 98 percent of the 2013-14 sampled population, and more than 92 percent of the 2018-19 sampled population, contained fish that exceeded the PFOS screening level.


## PFOS - IMPLICATIONS FOR FISH CONSUMPTION




## PUBLISHED NRSA RESULTS

## 2013-14 NRSA 2018-19 NRSA 2013-14 NRSA 2018-19 NRSA



Percentage of the sampled population of river km vith filiet concentrations above Percentege of the sampled population of river km with fillet concentrations below the fish tissue screening level (SL)

## PUBLISHED NRSA RESULTS
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- The published results were based on PFOS screening levels derived from 2016 health advisories.
- The recent revised RfD for PFOS from the National Primary Drinking Water Regulation would result in a lower screening level for PFOS, equal to 0.25 ppb , and at least $92 \%$ of the assessed waterbodies containing fish above the PFOS screening level.


## RESOURCES AND CONTACT INFORMATION

- EPA Human Health Fish Tissue Contaminant Studies:
https://www.epa.gov/fish-tech/studies-fish-tissue-contamination
- EPA Fish Advisory Guidance Webpages
https://www.epa.gov/fish-tech/epa-guidance-developing-fishadvisories
- Contacts:

John Healey, Healey.John@epa.gov
Lisa Larimer, Larimer.Lisa@epa.gov

