The Next Frontier Towards Waste Characterization – Upcoming Activities of SW-846 Methods

August 1, 2022

Sandip Chattopadhyay, Ph.D. Troy Strock Kim Kirkland

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Land and Emergency Management Waste Characterization Branch

Disclaimer

The information in this presentation has not been reviewed and not approved for public dissemination in accordance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the Agency. Any mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute EPA endorsement or recommendation for use.

Overview



Method 1340 – In Vitro Bioaccessibility Assay for Lead and Arsenic in Soil

- Standard Operating Procedure for an In Vitro Bioaccessibility Assay Soil Bioavailability at Superfund for Pb and As in Soil
- Validation Assessment of the In Vitro Arsenic Bioaccessibility Assay for Predicting Relative Bioavailability of Arsenic in Soils and Soillike Materials at Superfund Sites (OLEM 9355.4-29 April 20, 2017)
- Guidance for Sample Collection for In Vitro Bioaccessibility Assay for Arsenic and Lead in Soil and Applications of Relative Bioavailability Data in Human Health Risk Assessment
- Fact Sheet: Relative Bioavailability and In Vitro Bioaccessibility of Lead in Soil
- Fact Sheet: Relative Bioavailability and In Vitro Bioaccessibility of Arsenic in Soil

Sites: Guidance



Guidance for Evaluating the Bioavailability of Metals in Soils for Use in Human Health Risk Assessment

This guidance document provides: 1) a recommended process for deciding when to collect sitespecific information on the oral bioavailability of metals in soils for use in human health risk assessments; 2) a recommended process for documenting the data collection, analysis and implementation of a validated method that would support site-specific estimates of oral bioavailability; and 3) general criteria for EPA to use in evaluating whether a specific bioavailability method has been validated for regulatory risk assessment purposes.

https://www.epa.gov/superfund/soil-bioavailability-superfund-sites-guidance

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Why a Method for Pb and As Bioaccessibility?

- Determining bioavailability for soil contaminants is important for understanding site-specific risk
- Previous in vivo method cost prohibitive
- Method 1340 (*in vitro*) reliable, rapid, reproducible, considerably less expensive
 - reduces the clean-up costs at contaminated hazardous waste sites







Why a Method ... (contd.)

- Commonly found together at sites and accurately measuring their RBA has a significant impact on the risk assessment and on the selection of cleanup levels.
- Does not require the use or sacrifice of animals. and the reduced cost per sample allows risk assessors to obtain a more representative number of samples per exposure unit.
- Incorporation of As into the already existing method for Pb means that laboratories already have experience performing the assay.



Updating Method 6200 – X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry for the Determination of Elemental Concentrations in Soil and Sediment



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Updating Method 6200 (contd.)

- XRF can guide real-time, in-field choices, set decision unit (DU) boundaries, and evaluate sample processing.
- Technological progress enabled broadening of elements, rapid, low cost, and nondestructive analysis - detection limits for most of trace elements are usually below regulatory levels.
- Does not produce analytical waste, low energy consuming, safe and easy to operate.



Method 3060 – Alkaline Digestion for Cr(VI) Method Parameters

- High pH (~13) and high carbonate
- Liquid : solid ratio = 20 mL/g
- Borosilicate glass or quartz extraction vessels
- Stir samples at 90-95°C for at least 1 hour
- Adjust pH to 7.5 with nitric acid

Analysis

- 7196A Visible Spectrophotometry
- 7199 Ion Chromatography
- 6800 Speciated Isotope Dilution Mass Spectrometry

Challenges of Existing Method 3060

- Incomplete Cr(VI) Extraction
 - USGS studies show extraction of Cr(VI) is not quantitative compared to X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectroscopy results.
- Difficult to Operate
 - \circ Does not address heterogeneity or particle size.
 - Addition of MgCl₂ causes immediate precipitation of hydroxides and carbonates.
 - $\circ~$ Interferences due to phosphate.
 - Large amounts of chromite/magnetite coat stir bars which interferes with their function and may affect extraction efficiency.

Potential Updates - Method 3060

- Particle Size
 - o Smaller size
- Extraction Vessel



- High pH/high carbonate extraction fluid dissolved borosilicate glass
- \circ PTFE extraction vessels
- Liquid to Solid Ratio
 - $\circ~$ ~1000 (50x that of 3060A prescribed ratio)
- Extraction Time
 - Dissolution of mineral phases and exchange processes may be kinetically limited (48 hours)

ASTM / EPA Collaboration

- Interlaboratory studies for D8174-18, D8175-18
 - Modernizing Ignitable Liquids Determinations rule finalized in 2020, incorporated D8174 and D8175: RCRA ignitability characteristic regulation
 - Based on ASTM D3278-78 (Small scale closed cup), D93-79/D93-80 (Pensky-Martens)
 - Maintain method-defined elements cup dimensions, materials of construction, sample size, heating rate
 - Standards need interlaboratory studies to generate precision statements
 - SW-846 methods team working with National Enforcement Investigations Center laboratory, D34 committee



Updates to SW-846 Method Validation Guidance



"Guidelines on Validation of Non-Regulatory Chemical and Radiochemical Methods", EPA/600/B-22/001 https://cfpub.epa.gov/si/si_public_record_Report.cfm?dirEntryId=354570&Lab=IO

• Based on memos written in 1992:

https://www.epa.gov/hw-sw846/guidance-methods-development-and-methods-validationresource-conservation-and-recovery-act

- Benefits of revision:
 - ✓ Better define EPA's expectations of data to support publication of methods
 - ✓ Standardize evaluation of method performance
 - ✓ Streamline project planning
- References:

Guidelines for evaluation of multi-laboratory validation data (e.g., AOAC, ASTM, EPA)

Sample Collection and Processing of Waste



13

| | | | Representative | ·Soil·Sampling·De | Devices | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | | Designations | TypeofSamplea | Soil Types | Soil Sample | Penetration. | A | | | |
| | | Surface soil: Bulk soil samples are easily obtained | | Type of Sumples | oon rypes | Area/Volumea | Deptho | Callection | 15. Passive Samplers | | |
| | | that samples are taken to exactly the same depth on- | Shovel Scoop | | All soil types | | Surface. | soil-can-be- | Selecting nathogen samplers and samplin | a methods depends on the site specific questions that | |
| Death | Soil is a natu | contamination a sterile spatula can be used to scrape | Spoon, Trowel, | Unconsolidated¤ | cohesive-sandy- | 0.5-to-4-L¤ | shallow | easily¶ | peed to be addressed. Since samples for | active pathogen sampling methods, described in | |
| Pebble Hock Water | organic matt | contamination can also occur between samples, whi | Spade¤ | | or loose soils | | subsurface¤ | ⊷Collects bla E | previous sections, are collected from single | a points in time, the data are representative "snapshote" | |
| Bacteria Bacteria | | washing the auger with water, then rinsing it with 7. | | | d¤ Organic∙ horizon(s),∙ mineral-A• | 100-to-900-cm ³ ¤ | Surface¤ | | of the pathogens. Thus, multiple sampling | might be used to describe how pathogen conditions | |
| | | Soil adhering to the plant roots is considered to be ri | ■Cutting/Sampling- Frame¤ Unconsolidated¤ | Theony alidated a | | | | Bfficient w | of the participeris. This, multiple sampling might be used to describe how participerio conducts | | |
| | | soil. Surface soil sample usually undergoes sieving | | Onconsonaateds | | | | representati | environment for weeks (typically 15 - 90 da | avs) and depend on the formation and collection of | |
| | | to facilitate sieving. Care should be taken so that th | | | norizon(s)e | | | | biofilms that grow on surfaces or within a s | solid matrix The passive samplers provide a more time- | |
| | | microbial populations. | ■Ring·Sampler≍ Consolidated or- Unconsolidated ⊂ | | Cohesive-soils¤ | 0.5-to-20-com- diameters | Surface≍ | Bracise-con | integrated sample of pathogens from the s | ampled environment. In active monitoring a nathogenic | |
| | | Subsurface soil: Subsurface soil samples have lowe | | Checkbondencus | | utumeters | - | • Precise Con | air sampler physically draws a known volu | me of air through or over a particle collection device | |
| | | Mechanical approaches (such as drill rigs) may be n | ■ Bulb Planter¤ | Consolidated or- Unconsolidated o | Cohesive-soils¤ | 1.5-L¤ | Surface (0 to - 15 cm)# | •+Large-core | which can be a liquid or a solid culture media or a nitrocellulose membrane and the quantity of pathogens present is measured (for example in CFU/m ³ of air). Passive monitoring uses settle | | |
| | | saturated or unsaturated. Air rotary drilling can be u | • Continue Carlindan | Convolidated on | Orașenia A | | | | | | |
| 💉 = Filamentou | us fungi • Chemical p | effectively sterilized, posing difficulty for subseque | (Soil Punch) | Unconsolidated | horizon¤ | 59•to-556•cm²¤ | Surface¤ | efficiently | plates, which are standard Petri dishes co | ntaining culture media, that are exposed to the air for a | |
| 28 = Protozoans | • Microbiolo | control dust and cooling purposes, coring can be per | | | | | | Farrido ma | given time in order to collect biological par | ticles, which settle out and are then incubated. Results | |
| = Nematode | • Other chem | process was pre-filtered through a 0.3-µm high-effic | ■ Soil Corer | Consolidated or- | O-b-size seller | 2.5-to-10-cm-(dia.)¶ | 0.00 | ••Precise con | are expressed in CFU/plate/time or in CFL | J/m2/hour. Passive sampling provides a valid risk | |
| Soil Sampling Strategies, Size, Number and Type of Samples | | scraped away with a sterile spatula, and then subcor | (manual)¤ ĭ | Unconsolidated¤ | Conesive-solise | 30 to 60 cm (height)¤ | 0.00.00.000 | | assessment as it measures the harmful part of the airborne population that falls onto a critical | | |
| -Sell Sampling Strategies, Size, Tumber and Type of Samples | | in a sterile plastic bag or sleeves and should be anal | | | | | | •→Can use-lin | surface (French et al. 1980; Matysik et al. 2009; Napoli et al. 2012; Mills et al. 2014). Table A-9 | | |
| Planning/Preparation | Sample containers of the proper si | and outside biological contaminant may significanti | Slide-hammer | Consolidated or- | | 2.5-to-10-cm-(dia)¶ | | ••Easy to use | provides advantages and challenges of co | mmonly used passive samplers. | |
| and Process¶ | Ouality control samples (e.g., field | interferences from non-target substances in the sam | Core·Sampler¤ | Unconsolidated¤ | Cohesive-soils¤ | 30 to 60 cm (height)≍ | 0-to-60-cmg | +→Easy to clea | | | |
| | duplicates, performance evaluation | Sample Storage: Preservation Method and Maxi | | | | | | ⊷Can use lin | Table A-7. Advantages and Challenges | of Passive Samplers | |
| | Bound field logbook, writing instr | • Analyzes should be performed as soon as possible | | | | | | | Advantages | Challenges | |
| | and permanent markers), camera | field sample characteristics can and will change a | ■ Auger (manual)¤ | Unconsolidated≋ | Cohesive-soils¤ | 2.5-to-15-cm-long¤ | 0-to-60-cm≍ | | Sampling devices are relatively easy to | Sampling devices require several days of placement in | |
| | batteries. | nrior to analysis ¶ | | | | | | | Sample collection over an extended period | to the site to install and then retrieve the sampling | |
| | • Appropriate paperwork (e.g., Chai | •+Storage-at-4°C-should-not-exceed-3-months ¶ | | | | | | | of time might be desirable at certain | devices | |
| | •Sample-labels | •Samplas-should be stored in darkness (to avoid or | | | | | | | conditions compared to single, grab- | The solid matrix of most passive microbial sampling | |
| | Sampling Process Most pathogenic | • Samples should be stored in darkness (to avoid gr | Split-Spoon-or- | Consolidated or | Cohesive-soils- | Variable (up to 10 cm (dia) and up to 2 | | ••Precise con | sample collection of pathogen. | devices is a surrogate; thus, differences may exist | |
| | test end points measured are often th | *Samples should not be stacked, not should be too | Tube·Sampler¤ | Unconsolidated¤ | and hard soils¤ | kg∙sample¤ | - | | Passive sampling devices can concentrate | between pathogens colonizing the sampling device and | |
| | volume of soil per test) can be diffe | samples do not dry out and that anaerooic cor | | | | | | | contaminants. | native material. | |
| | should be stored immediately and re | •samples must not dry out of become waterlogged | ■ Shelby Tube | Consolidated or- | Cohesive-soils- | Variable (up to 10 | 0-to-40-cm-or¶ | ••Precise con | | | |
| | should be tested as soon as possible | Containers for Son Samples Conected a | Sampler¤ | Unconsolidated¤ | and hard soils | cm (dia.)¤ | bedrock¤ | | Even though the implementation might var | y between different types of passive samplers, nearly all | |
| Sample size: The minimum volume (or mass) of soil required de | | Container Material of Construction and Type: Sa | | •·Can use im share certain common characteristics. | | | | share certain common characteristics, the | most important of which is the presence of a barrier | | |
| site conditions, and the tests to be conducted. A few examples of | | | ■ Piston-Samplers¤ | Consolidated or- | soils, wet soils, | Variable¤ | Surface¶ Shallow- subsurface¤ | ••Holds mois materials in | between the sampled medium and the coll | lecting medium. The barrier defines the rate at which | |
| characteristics are indicated below. | | LIDDE husbate | | Unconsolidated¤ | wet-clay, dry- | | | | analytes are collected at a given concentra | ation, which is crucial for quantitative analysis. An | |
| Bulk Density: Soil with high bulk density (e.g., sandy soil or c | | HDFE OUCKED | ■ Direct Push-Corer- Tubes- (GeoProbe TM)¤ | Consolidated¤ | and wet peat | Tubes: -5-or-7-cm- (dia.) and -1.2 m-long¶ Size-of-probes-and- | Surface¶ Subsurface≍ | | effective sampler should eliminate or minir | mize the effects of external factors (such as the velocity | |
| require a greater mass of sample compared to low bulk density | | | | | | | | | of the sampled medium at the face of the s | sampler, humidity, and temperature) on the sampling | |
| forest soil). | | | | | | | | | rate. In practice, the barrier usually falls in | nto one of two categories: (1) diffusion or (2) permeation. | |
| Moisture Content: Moisture content at the time of collection (| | SS bucket with push-fit lids≎ | Rotary:/hollow: | | | liners-varyg | | CI2531FY-501 | Schematic diagrams of the two types of sa | amplers are given in Figure A-3. The sampling process is | |
| very moist more soil should be collected than if the soil at a si | | | stem) Auger with | Consolidated¤ | Cohesive-soils∙ and-soft-bedrock¤ | Variable¤ | Surface-to- bedrock¤ | ⊷Saturated·s collected⊄ | similar for both categories of samplers. | | |
| Impurities: If the site soil contains significant amount of large | | | lined or unlined | | | | | | | | |
| or plant roots, then more soil should be collected. | | | core-barrelso | | | | | <u> </u> | • • | | |
| Nature, Extent, and Distribution of Pathogens: Pathogen ma | | | | | | | | | A • | | |
| the surface soil with grea | atest concentrations in the top few cen | Polyethylene baga | Botary (solid) | | Cohesive-soils,- | | Surface-to- | ••Easy to use | | | |
| are taken at depth (e.g., (| 0 to 30 cm) to meet the soil volume re | | stem) Auger¤ | Unconsolidated¤ | frozen-soils, and soft-bedrock | 15 cm and larger∝ | bedrock¤ | ••Provides co | A •••• | | |
| longer represent the site | ··A ·better approach would be to colled | | | | Join Combrain | | | information | | | |
| that represent the depth of contamination (e.g., 0 to 5 cm). | | Teflon-bago | | | | | | | | | |
| Number: The number of soil samples to collect depends on the s | | | Field Analytica | Field-Analytical Field-portable instrumentation provides useful information for critical | | | | | | Driving Force | |
| desired level of certainty, and site specific considerations such as | | Class with months diam with | Methods: screening or semi-quantitative data during the initial screening phase | | | | | | | • Driving Force | |
| heterogeneity of the soil, test requirements, and the size and hom | | olass wide-mouthed jars with polyethylene/polymonylene.com.or. UDDE | PPE and Emergency Equipmenta | | | | | | Melaular Diffusion | ADDRESS ADDRES | |
| and location of samples can be determined using two dimensional | | bilden | •+N95 (or better) Respirators (enough for all team members plus extras) •+Rasic first aid ki | | | | | | Molecular Dimusion | | |
| Type of Soil Samples - Point, Composite and Bulk Point Sam | | IIUS~ | •>Eve-protection | n ·goggles-(face-sh | ¶ | •4Eme | ergency-evor | Figure A-3. Schematic diagram of pass | sive samplers: (a) Diffusion, (b) Permeation. | | |
| individual blocks of soil removed from one location by a samplin | | Plastic wide-mouthed jars with plastic caps | • Disposable/N | Disposable/Nitrile-gloves¶ •-Working-commt Reusable and disinfect-able-leather-or-heavy-gloves¶ •-Emergency resp 4-38 | | | | | | | |
| samples comprising of two or more point samples. When point s | | and HDPE lids (plastic jar materials include | •+Reusable and | | | | | | | | |
| locations are pooled togeth | er, the pooled sample is a composite : | polypropylene, polystyrene, HDPE, and | •+Protective-sui | Protective suits gowns coveralls or full length dedicated field garments | | | | | | | |
| (e.g., >1L) point samples that consists of more than one individu | | polystyrene)¤ | (yrane) | | | | | | | | |
| by a sampling device and often collected to satisfy the large volu | | | | | | | | | | | |

Sample Collection Information Document - Attachment A

Additional Methods

 Method 3110 – Extraction of Seafood for Arsenic Species
 Method 6870 – Arsenic Speciation Analysis in Seafood Using IC/ICP-MS
 Method 6850 – Perchlorate in Water, Soils, and Solid Wastes Using High Performance Liquid Chromatography/Electrospray Ionization/Mass Spectrometry (HPLC/ESI/MS)
 Method 6860A – Perchlorate in Water, Soils, and Solid Wastes Using Ion Chromatography/Electrospray Ionization/Mass Spectrometry (IC/ESI/MS)
 ... and several others.

Reminder about SW-846 Methods

- Use of the latest version of SW-846 methods
- Choose an appropriate and reliable method
- The user must be able to demonstrate that the method generates data that is appropriate for its intended use
- In situations where it may not be appropriate to use the latest method in SW-846, earlier versions may be used.
- "Measurement objectives", Not on measurement technologies
- Performance Based approach because it enables the method flexibility necessary for the analysis of complex RCRA wastes.
- Seeks approval of their project plan before applying any method on a specific project.

Questions?

https://www.epa.gov/hw-sw846

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency