



# Panel Discussion: Professional Judgement As Part of the Data Review/Validation Effort

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING  
CONFERENCE 2016, ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

AUGUST 11, 2016



# Panelists

**Stella Cuenco, LDC**

**James J. McAteer, Jr., QA/QC Solutions, LLC**

**Jeri Rossi, ddms, inc.**

**Eric Strout, Retired**

**Polly S. Newbold, ddms, inc. - Moderator**

# Question #1

- ▶ In your opinion, what are the most important elements to consider when you are applying Professional Judgement (PJ) during data review?

## Question #2

- ▶ How are consistency and quality for PJs maintained within the organization? And, if you are a single consultant, how are your PJ checked for quality and consistency?

## Question #3

- ▶ Do you feel it is important to record the basis and logic of the PJs; and if yes, how do you document and maintain these records?

## Question #4

- ▶ How do you incorporate external factors that affect PJ i.e., client specific, project and regional requirements?

## Question #5

- ▶ In such a competitive climate, how do you control cost of validation in order to remain competitive?



# Audience Participation and Questions/Discussion





## Thank you to...

- ▶ Our panelists,
- ▶ TNI for the opportunity and encouragement to try something new, and
- ▶ You, the audience, for your participation.